

Voters question Sanders, Cruz campaign choices: #tellusatoday

USA TODAY 6:40 p.m. EDT May 1, 2016

Bernie's superdelegate move, Cruz's Carly play won't translate into wins.



(Photo: Charles Rex Arbogast, AP)

Letter to the editor:

One positive consequence of this election's long, still-contested primary cycle is the importance of later contests. Late-voting states, however, should not have to rely on a close delegate count to impact the selection of nominees. The current system gives disproportionate weight to a small number of early voters, such as those in Iowa and New Hampshire, and much less weight to a large number of late voters, such as those in Indiana and California.

A better process would be to hold all nominating contests on the same day. Voters would have a more equal say, candidates would not be prematurely eliminated, and the ultimate victors would have demonstrated the ability to run and win a national campaign.

Michael B. Abramson; Atlanta



USA TODAY

Policing the USA

(<http://www.usatoday.com/policing/>)

Bernie Sanders announced plans Wednesday to lay off hundreds of campaign staffers. On Sunday, Sanders appealed to party superdelegates who back Hillary Clinton to switch their support to him. Comments from Facebook are edited for clarity and grammar:

Sanders outpaced Hillary Clinton in terms of fundraising three months in a row by substantial margins. We'll see if she picked up any support in April.

—**Charlotte Scot**

Bernie has lost, and it is time to accept it: 1) He has few feasible details for his initiatives. 2) Instead of defining his policies, he is more consumed by attacking Hillary. 3) By the end of March, he had raised \$166 million and spent over 54% of that on consultants and advertising. The small donor is the victim.

— **Karnal Dulles**

I respect Bernie, but he's acting like a poor sport. Bernie needs to use his campaign money to support Democratic congressional races. This will bring us closer to a "revolution."

— **Karen Cappel Hendrickson**